Whole Sporozoite Vaccination: Duration between Successive Immunization Dictates the Fate of Protective Immunity
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Abstract

Whole sporozoite vaccination (WSV) is considered a gold standard for inducing and providing sterile protection against *Plasmodium* infection. Multiple doses of immunizations with radiation-attenuated sporozoites (RAS) is essential for establishing complete sterile protection against *Plasmodium* infection in mice as well as in humans. In our recently published article¹, we have shown that the pattern of vaccination with RAS determines the degrees of protection in mice and frequent immunization with RAS in optimum time duration helps in generating minimum threshold liver-stage (LS) specific CD8⁺ T cell memory responses leading to sterile protection. Further, we have shown that the alterations in successive RAS immunization could possibly affect the induction of sterile protection. In summary, animals receiving four successive doses generated 100% sterile protection. However, three successive doses with the same parasite inoculum as four doses, could induce sterile protection in ∼50% mice. Interestingly, mice immunized with the same 3 doses, but with longer gap, could not survive the challenge.

Introduction

Despite tremendous development of healthcare system, malaria in the 21st century still remains a serious challenge to human health particularly to children under 5 years of age. Malaria caused by protozoan parasites of *Plasmodium* genus, remains a major health problem worldwide with almost 35% of the human population is at risk of becoming infected². This mosquito-borne disease is mainly responsible for illness and death among infants and young children, particularly in Africa and southeast Asia. The pregnant women are also highly susceptible to malaria infection; upon infection they develop anemia, prematurely deliver baby with low birthweight, often causing death of infants. Further, children who survived the malaria infection suffer from various complications including mental and physical health.

The *Plasmodium* parasite has a complex life cycle³ and is adaptable to various environmental conditions (Figure 1). Development of all *Plasmodium* species occurs in two different hosts: the definitive host is the mosquito, where the parasite undergoes sexual reproduction, while human is the intermediate host for *Plasmodium falciparum*, wherein the asexual multiplication occurs. The life cycle of *Plasmodium* is broadly divided into three phases: asymptomatic pre-erythrocytic (PE) stage (approx. 5-6 days in humans or 2-3 days in rodents), which initiates the infection in the liver; the asexual erythrocytic stage, which causes disease symptoms; and the gametocyte stage, that includes the infection of the mosquitoes.
Figure 1 Life cycle of malaria parasite and possible immune mechanisms at various stages of the Plasmodium life cycle in the mammalian host. In infected female Anopheles mosquito delivered the sporozoites at the time of blood meal into the bloodstream. They pass quickly in the human liver where they multiply asexually as merozoites over the next 7–10 days, causing no symptoms. Parasitic stage in the liver is clinically silent. Fever and severe malaria are associated with the parasite cycle in the blood, as well as adherence of infected RBCs to the blood vessel endothelium and to each other. Then merozoites invade erythrocytes and multiply until the cells rupture, releasing merozoites and infect mature erythrocytes. This cycle is repeated, causing fever each time the parasite breaks free. Some proportion of the released merozoites develop into gametocyte that subsequently infect another mosquito. In the liver stage, protective immune response is generated by CD8+ T cells and cytokine. At the blood stage, antibodies and CD4+ T cells are mainly responsible for the controlling the Plasmodium infection.

The PE stage begins when an infected female Anopheles mosquito delivers a small number of infectious sporozoites into the bloodstream while biting the host for blood meal, these sporozoites rapidly travel to the liver infect the hepatocytes. Before infecting the hepatocytes, the sporozoites are supposed to pass through a number of hepatocytes. In the liver, a single sporozoite gives rise to thousands of asexual parasites called merozoites that rupture the hepatocytes and end up in the blood stream. Each sporozoite forms a schizont containing 10,000–40,000 merozoites. Further, the released merozoites infect red blood cells (RBCs) and multiply within them until the RBCs burst open releasing the merozoites. This cycle is repeated, causing clinical symptoms of malaria, each time the parasite breaks free. Subsequently, the gametocyte stage begins in the female Anopheles mosquitoes with the gametocytes undergoing fertilization and maturation to form the ookinetes that further develop to the oocyst in which sporozoites are formed. After maturation, the oocysts burst and release the sporozoites that migrate to
the salivary glands of the mosquito and get ready for the next transmission into the mammalian host.

**Effectiveness of Anti-Malarial Drug, Emergence Drug Resistance, Need of the Vaccine**

Use of various anti-malarial drugs, including artemisinin-based combinational therapy and vector control measures e.g., insecticide-treated nets have resulted in mixed outcome. In certain regions of Africa, while these interventions have been linked with a temporary 50% reduction in incidence, other regions of Africa and some parts of the world, such as, Amazonia, have noticed the increase in the incidence of malaria. Most importantly, *P. falciparum* has been shown to be acquiring and rapidly spreading resistance to anti-malarial drugs. At present we have to deal with multi-drug-resistant parasites against most potent drug, including chloroquine and artemisinin, and the emergence of insecticide-resistant mosquitoes making it very difficult to control malaria. Given the enormous genetic plasticity of the parasite, the emergence of antimalarial drug resistance is inevitable and thus a major concern. Therefore, it is critical to have an effective vaccine to control or possibly eradicate malaria.

Attempts have been made to develop malaria vaccine; more than 10 *P. falciparum* malaria vaccines at either advanced preclinical or clinical stages of evaluation are designed to induce protective antibody or cell-mediated immune responses against the liver or blood-stage infection. The vaccines include, PE vaccines that target the asymptomatic phase and aim either to prevent the sporozoites from getting into the hepatocytes or to destroy infected hepatocytes e.g., RAS vaccine, GAP (Genetically attenuated parasite) vaccines, RTS, S/AS01, and CVac. Further, erythrocytic vaccines targeting the symptomatic stage aim to stop the rapid invasion and asexual reproduction of the parasite in the RBCs e.g., chemically attenuated parasites, AMA1-RON2, and PfRH5. Lastly, the transmission blocking vaccine that targets to kill the vector, the *Anopheles* mosquito, to stop further spread of the parasite e.g., Pfs25, Pfs230, and Pfs47.

Only one malaria vaccine candidate i.e., RTS, S/AS01 has approval for use in countries where malaria is endemic and a small number of volunteers are shown to be protected with low efficacy. Further, malaria vaccine development is hindered due to the complexity of the parasite and its life cycle, extensive antigenic variation, and a poor understanding of the interaction between *P. falciparum* and the human immune system.

**Natural Immunity against Malaria**

Various studies have suggested that protective immunity can be achieved naturally among young children as well as adults, who have lived in an malaria endemic area for 6-7 years due to repeated exposure of malarial parasites. However, the natural immunity is vanishes when immune adults leave the malaria endemic settings, suggesting the fact that repeated exposure to malarial parasite is critical to induce and maintain the immunity. Generation of natural immunity in response to severe disease is shown to be developed among the children living in malaria endemic areas where transmission is high; on the contrary, the same is not true for the children living in areas of low transmission suggesting that frequent exposure to malaria parasite is required to generate and maintain immunity. Furthermore, natural immunity is observed as the collective process of continuous exposure to infectious malaria parasite over the years, which yields a sufficiently diverse repertoire of immune responses.

Individuals repeatedly exposed naturally to *Plasmodium* parasites develop antibodies against the PE as well as erythrocytic stage, which act on sporozoite, liver-stage, and various blood-stage malaria parasites. Additionally, effector mechanism of naturally acquired immunity include the array of cytokines especially interferon-gamma (IFN-γ) released that act against all stages of the *Plasmodium* infection. During natural infection in humans, cytotoxic CD8+ T cells specific for certain *Plasmodium* antigens detected in many individuals with previous contact with *P. falciparum* are thought to provide protection. Further, *Plasmodium* antigen specific CD4+ T cells are shown to activate macrophages that phagocytose and eliminate intra-erythrocytic parasites and free merozoites.

**Importance of Vaccine Targeting Liver-Stage against *Plasmodium***

Liver-stage (LS) in the *Plasmodium* life cycle is clinically silent stage and the number of infected cells is very low. Hence, it is an impecable target for vaccine-induced protective immune responses. Since, this stage lasts for about 6-7 days in humans, and potential whole-sporozoite anti-malarial vaccines can confine the parasite in the liver and preventing parasite from further development into infectious blood-stages infections.

A vaccine that would efficiently target the infected hepatocytes would prevent both the clinical symptoms of the disease and the infection. Remarkable efforts are being made for the development of an effective vaccine, but only RTS, S/AS01, (Mosquirix) a pre-erythrocytic subunit vaccine launched recently in the market has shown to induce antibody and CD4+ T cell response against sporozoite-expressed surface protein i.e., circum sporozoite protein (CSP). The main target group of Mosquirix for active immunization are children aged between 6 weeks to 17 months against malaria caused by *P. falciparum*. Although the efficacy is relatively good in terms of protective immunity, the protection generated by RTS, S/AS01 is very short-lived and last up to 6 months.
Liver-stage Specific CD8⁺ T Cell Response against *Plasmodium* Infection

Whole sporozoite vaccination (WSV) has demonstrated relatively high vaccine efficacy, but depends on repeated frequent doses of WSV to ensure sterile immunity in humans as well as rodents⁸, 29-31. WSV includes RAS, GAP, and CPS (infectious sporozoites under chloroquine cover)³². In mice immunized with RAS, the critical role of CD8⁺ T cells in generating sterile protection was first established in the 1980s³³. Various experimental data including ours suggest that the WSV would induce multifunctional long-lived memory CD8⁺ T cells against infected hepatocytes¹⁻³⁸. Protective immunity is lost with the attrition of LS CD8⁺ T cells (Figure 2). For achieving the complete sterile protection, a minimum threshold LS CD8⁺ T cell is required¹. Reports from various investigators, including ours, have shown a requirement of the presence of a minimal threshold (provide the % of multifunctional CD8⁺ T cells) of activated memory CD8⁺ T cells in liver for sterile protective immunity against malaria ¹. LS specific effector CD8⁺ T cells are producers of a large quantity of IFN-γ, perforin and granzyme and highly potent to eliminate the infected hepatocytes¹, 3⁵.

Gap between Successive Immunizations with RAS Induced Generation of CD8⁺ T cells

Various studies, including ours, have shown that multiple doses of RAS given in biweekly interval leads to induction of sterile protection¹, 29, 3⁴, 3⁵. In our recent study, however, we have shown that the longer the duration (i.e., > 6 weeks) between two successive immunizations with RAS of *Plasmodium berghei* fails to provide sterile protection¹. In addition, we have demonstrated that that varying degree of protection found in mice inoculated with RAS correlates with the presence of IFN-γ producing CD8⁺ T effector memory (TEM) cells in the liver¹. Our study emphasizes the requirement of frequent inoculations of RAS in the optimum interval between successive immunization to induce sterile protection and irregular immunization would lead to failure in achieving the protective immunity and the minimal threshold of IFN-γ producing CD8⁺ T⁰TEM cells in the liver required during malaria infection to ensue protection.

We found that the presence of IFN-γ or multifunctional CD8⁺ T⁰TEM cells in the liver is influenced by the nature of inoculation (i.e. frequency of exposure) which further reflects in the degree of protection. The findings provide the clue that the cumulative parasite antigen load during vaccination might not be the sole determining factor, rather it depends upon the frequency of immunization. By looking at the IFN-γ producing CD8⁺ T cells pre- and post-challenge we demonstrate the potential of endogenous memory CD8⁺ T cells, presumably specific to multiple antigens, to play an important role in providing sterile protection after attaining the desired frequencies (Fig. 2). From this study, we speculate that sterile immunity might be retained, if the frequencies of IFN-γ or multifunctional CD8⁺ T⁰TEM cells are either maintained until the subsequent infection or attained while the parasite is developing in the liver during the challenge. The basic information generated by this
study may aid in rationalizing the whole sporozoite vaccine regimen for inducing sterile protection.

Conclusions

It will not be feasible to eradicate malaria through vaccination unless we understand the complexity of the induction and generation of sterile protection in response to RAS. Mass vaccination has its own challenges so far as compliance is concerned. Our study highlights some of the unanswered questions regarding the generation of sterile immunity to Plasmodium, the tools needed to address these questions, and the research that is underway. In addition, understanding malaria immunity in the context of developing a truly universal malaria vaccine is the focus of a new strategic plan for the developing next generation malaria vaccine research. Until this is achieved, we will continue to chase and fail to catch the constantly moving target of Plasmodium. Our results as well as others emphasize the requirement of frequent inoculations of RAS, to induce sterile protection, in stipulated time between two successive immunizations. The presence of required threshold of IFN-γ or multifunctional CD8+ T EM cells in the liver is influenced by the nature of inoculation (i.e. frequency of exposure) leading to generation of different degree of protection.
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